# Robustness to Packet Reordering in High-speed Networks



#### Sumitha Bhandarkar & A. L. Narasimha Reddy February 07, 2007

#### Motivation

- Studies show non-zero amount of packet reordering in the Internet
  - Parallelism in Internet components
    - multiple paths within the switching fabric
    - multi-path routing
    - multi-homing etc.
  - Misconfigured Internet components
  - Reordering may be function of network load
- Most of these causes for reordering are more likely in high speed networks



- High speed protocols make probing efficient
  Allow window to grow very large
- Still use 3-dupack heuristic for identifying loss
  - Extremely susceptible to reordering events
  - Severe degradation of throughput in case of reordering

#### Packet Reordering in Highspeed Networks



RTT of the flow : 50ms Model for Packet Delay : • Uniform distribution for choosing packet • Normal distribution for delay with mean 25ms and

stddev 8ms

#### **Proposed Solution**

- Use delayed congestion response with high speed protocols
  - RFC 4653 for TCP
  - How well does this work with high-speed protocols?

#### TCP-DCR : An Overview

- When dupacks are received,
  - Delay the time to infer congestion by  $\tau$
  - Essentially a tradeoff between wrongly inferring congestion and promptness of response to congestion
  - $-\tau$  chosen to be one RTT to allow maximum time while avoiding an RTO

Highspeed Protocols : An Overview

LTCP: Uses the concept of layering to ramp up the bandwidth quickly, while ensuring fairness.

Highspeed TCP: Modifies congestion response parameters based on different response function

BIC TCP: Uses Binary/Additive Increase, Multiplicative Decrease.

H-TCP: Modifies congestion response parameters based on "time since last drop"

# Impact of Packet Reordering When DCR is Used



RTT of the flow : 50ms Model for Packet Delay : • Uniform distribution for choosing packet • Normal distribution for delay with mean 25ms and stddev 8ms



• Using DCR prevents performance degradation

– Even at high levels of reordering

- DCR delays response by one RTT
  - Reordering of more than 1 RTT can still cause performance degradation

#### Other issues with DCR

- DCR should not impact other behavior
  - How does congestion impact delaying response?
  - How does delayed response impact drop rates?
  - How does delayed response impact fairness ?





# Bottleneck Link Buffer Drop Rate



# Bottleneck Link Buffer Drop Rate



# Jain Fairness Index



# Jain Fairness Index



#### Observations

- When network has only congestion
  - Behavior remains similar with or without TCP-DCR
- Realistic conditions will have both congestion and reordering
  - Next set of results show the impact of both together.

# With Congestion & Reordering Link Utilization Without DCR 100 Link Utilization (%) 08 08 08 08 08 08 --- LTCP --- Highspeed TCP → BIC -- HTCP 1.E-05 1.E-04 1.E-03 1.E-02 Fraction of Packets Delayed 1.E-06 1.E-01



# Bottleneck Link Buffer Drop Rate



# Bottleneck Link Buffer Drop Rate



# Jain Fairness Index



Texas A&M University

## Jain Fairness Index



- Next we investigate more complex scenarios
  - Multiple Bottleneck Links
  - Traffic in both forward and reverse directions
  - Router R3 is 'misconfigured' causing 1% reordering of packets passing through it





# Link Utilization

	LTCP	Highspeed	BIC	HTCP
R1-R2	96.87	94.2558	96.4142	94.2525
R3-R2	13.29	8.72681	5.41026	7.9631
R3-R4	11.01	8.83559	9.5864	8.96401
R5-R4	94.65	94.0697	96.5316	93.9085
	LTCP-	Highspeed-	BIC-DCR	HTCP-
	DCR	DCR		DCR
R1-R2	96.52	96.74	97.19	94.70
R3-R2	96.65	96.24	95.47	95.74
R3-R4	95.19	97.23	95.37	95.75
R5-R4	95.56	94.40	94.99	96.05

# Bottleneck Link Buffer Drop Rate

	LTCP	Highspeed	BIC	HTCP
R1-R2	1.89E-03	5.79E-04	7.37E-04	5.20E-04
R3-R2	0	0	0	0
R3-R4	0	0	0	0
R5-R4	1.66E-03	6.01E-04	7.23E-04	4.30E-04
	LTCP-	Highspeed-	BIC-DCR	HTCP-
	DCR	DCR		DCR
R1-R2	2.57E-03	1.07E-03	8.96E-04	8.52E-04
R3-R2	2.83E-04	1.16E-04	1.06E-04	1.32E-04
R3-R4	2.51E-04	1.06E-04	9.20E-05	1.10E-04
R5-R4	2.41E-03	7.44E-04	6.59E-04	1.15E-03

# Jain Fairness Index

	LTCP	Highspeed	BIC	HTCP
R1-R5	0.983	0.995	0.999	0.997
R1-R2	0.959	0.982	0.967	0.952
R3-R2	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.999
R3-R4	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.999
R5-R4	0.975	0.977	0.967	0.932
R5-R1	0.990	0.996	0.995	0.999
	LTCP-	Highspeed-		HTCP-
	LTCP- DCR	Highspeed- DCR	BIC-DCR	HTCP- DCR
	LTCP- DCR	Highspeed- DCR	BIC-DCR	HTCP- DCR
R1-R5	LTCP- DCR 0.936	Highspeed- DCR 0.959	BIC-DCR 0.904	HTCP- DCR 0.963
R1-R5 R1-R2	LTCP- DCR 0.936 0.988	Highspeed- DCR 0.959 0.972	BIC-DCR 0.904 0.976	HTCP- DCR 0.963 0.950
R1-R5 R1-R2 R3-R2	LTCP- DCR 0.936 0.988 0.996	Highspeed- DCR 0.959 0.972 0.991	BIC-DCR 0.904 0.976 0.987	HTCP- DCR 0.963 0.950 0.994
R1-R5 R1-R2 R3-R2 R3-R4	LTCP- DCR 0.936 0.988 0.996 0.994	Highspeed- DCR 0.959 0.972 0.991 0.990	BIC-DCR 0.904 0.976 0.987 0.989	HTCP- DCR 0.963 0.950 0.994 0.988
R1-R5 R1-R2 R3-R2 R3-R4 R5-R4	LTCP- DCR 0.936 0.988 0.996 0.994 0.985	Highspeed- DCR 0.959 0.972 0.991 0.990 0.978	BIC-DCR 0.904 0.976 0.987 0.989 0.961	HTCP- DCR 0.963 0.950 0.994 0.988 0.926

#### Conclusion

- Significant improvement in the presence of packer reordering
- Minimal impact in the absence of reordering
- Congestion behavior not significantly modified
  - Similar drop rates
  - Similar Jain Fairness Index
- Safe for widespread deployment